STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission approval of interconnection agreements and amendments.

At the April 13, 2017 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing, Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Sally A. Talberg, Chairman Hon. Norman J. Saari, Commissioner Hon. Rachael A. Eubanks, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements or amendments to an interconnection agreement:

Case No. U-13792

AT&T Michigan and Range Corporation, d/b/a Range Telecommunications, f/k/a Range Corporation, d/b/a Range Telecommunications, Inc.

Application filed February 14, 2017, and amended application filed April 4, 2017, for approval of a third amendment to the interconnection agreement (adds terms and conditions to implement the Connect America Fund et al., WC Docket No. 10-90 et al., Report and Order, FCC 11-161, issued November 18, 2011, and FCC 11-189, amended on December 23, 2011; establishes bill-and-keep as the compensation arrangement for IntraMTA Traffic exchanged between the parties; and changes the name of the carrier from Range Corporation, d/b/a Range Telecommunications, Inc., to Range Corporation, d/b/a Range Telecommunications).

Case No. U-14176

AT&T Michigan and Windstream Norlight, LLC, f/k/a Norlight, Inc., d/b/a Cinergy Communications
Application filed March 20, 2017, for approval of a re-filed fifth amendment to the interconnection agreement (corrects Exhibit A).

Case No. U-14398 AT&T Michigan and Windstream NTI, LLC, f/k/a Windstream NTI,

Inc.

Application filed March 20, 2017, for approval of a re-filed twelfth amendment to the interconnection agreement (corrects Exhibit A).

Case No. U-15302 AT&T Michigan and Windstream KDL, LLC, f/k/a Windstream

KDL, Inc.

Application filed March 20, 2017, for approval of a re-filed eighth amendment to the interconnection agreement (corrects Exhibit A).

Case No. U-16913 AT&T Michigan and Buckeye Telesystem, Inc.

Application filed March 21, 2017, for approval of a second amendment to the interconnection agreement (adds terms and conditions to modify existing procedures for calculating Percent

Local Usage Factors between the parties).

Case No. U-18119 AT&T Michigan and Borderland Communications, LLC

Application filed February 28, 2017, for approval of a first amendment to the interconnection agreement (adds terms and conditions to modify existing procedures for calculating Percent

Local Usage Factors between the parties).

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

(2) The State commission may only reject

- (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
- (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, the Commission finds that they should be approved. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided

under the agreements shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court under 47 USC 252(e)(6). To comply with the requirement to notify the Commission of an appeal, appellants shall send required notices to both the Commission's Executive Secretary and to the Commission's Legal Counsel. Electronic notifications should be sent to the Executive Secretary at mpscedockets@michigan.gov and to the Michigan Department of the Attorney General - Public Service Division at pungp1@michigan.gov. In lieu of electronic submissions, paper copies of such notifications may be sent to the Executive Secretary and the Attorney General - Public Service Division at 7109 W. Saginaw Hwy, Lansing, MI 48917.

	MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	Sally A. Talberg, Chairman
	Sany A. Talberg, Chairman
	Norman J. Saari, Commissioner
	Rachael A. Eubanks, Commissioner
By its action of April 13, 2017.	
Kavita Kale, Executive Secretary	